

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,439	07/05/2001	Tue Nguyen	SIM077 1885	
7590 11/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Sheldon R. Meyer			HOANG, QUOC DINH	
Fliesler Meyer	LLP			
Four Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fourth Floor			2818	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4156			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/898,439	NGUYEN ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Quoc D Hoang	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	CFR 1.704(b).	mig date of the imagrejection, even in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) M they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: NONE						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16,21-29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:						
- .	Davidhalas					
	David Nelms Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: new issues "a plurality of ribon coils configured as stacked flat concentric sprirals and an axis oriented parallel to a direction of stacking" and "the thickness being substantially parallel to the axis, and the width being substantially perpendicular to the thickness" have been introduced in claim 1 and claim 24.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800